

## THE CLEANTECH CRASH, SCANDAL UPDATES

Version Update 9/1/2015.B

Let's check out the latest news in the notorious "**Cleantech Crash**" that brought you Solyndra, corruption scandals and political ruckus. Here is a quick overview of the latest findings.

What were the attacks and abuses of office:

- **Federal officials specifically assigned contract, and funding application, reviews to individuals known to already have political, financial and familial incentives to see the Plaintiffs fail. These federal officials knew, from the outset, that their associates would never pass anyone who competed with their friends, and who was not on a pre-approved list of "hard-wired" insiders. Most of these "reviewers" were, themselves, competitors to Plaintiff, whose technology could have put some of their companies out of business.**
- What is the proof?:
- Financial, stock, employment, payment, asset, email and meeting documents confirm this. Government and media investigations provide additional proof.

=====

- **Federal records show that one of the Plaintiffs companies was in the #1 position to receive funding in the federal program. When the friends of federal officials discovered Plaintiffs lead position, and realized that they were also technology competitors, they ordered Dept. of Energy bosses to change the taken-in-order received rules so that Plaintiffs were no longer in the lead. This was done because White House and Department of Energy friends were the campaign financiers, competing applicants, business competitors and pre-arranged awardees**
- What is the proof?:
- Federal public records and DOE witnesses

=====

- **Department of Energy senior staff promised to provide a single one-sentence comment to Plaintiffs investors in order for those investors to provide the application fee, which the Department of Energy had required, from one of Plaintiffs Companies. The Senior Department of Energy official refused to provide the response until after the deadline for application receipt had passed. At the moment that the deadline had passed, the official, sent a time stamped email stating that it was too late to apply because we had missed the deadline which he had caused to be missed. This senior DOE staff member had intentionally sabotaged the application by refusing to respond, per his promise, to a huge number of emails,**

**FedEx requests and phone calls; even though his secretary said he was in the next room, each time Plaintiffs attempted to follow-up. He was an associate of Plaintiffs competitors and ran part of the Department of Energy**

- What is the proof?:
- Time stamped communications, Department of energy records and law enforcement surveillance.

=====

- **One of Plaintiffs Companies wrote a letter of complaint to Senator Bingaman and the Senate Committee that oversees the Department of Energy. Senator Bingaman forwarded the letter to Steven Chu, for his comment on the issue. Steven Chu wrote a letter back in which Chu specifically refused to comment on the issue.**
- What is the proof?:
- Library of Congress and Federal document records

=====

- **Steven Chu only awarded federal funds to his friends, who also were campaign financiers and business competitors of Plaintiffs companies.**
- What is the proof?:

- Federal records, email, stock market records, emails, investigative documents, surveillance.

=====

- **White House staff had pre-coordinated with Steven Chu, and the few awardees who actually received the funding, in advance of the beginning of the funding program, in order arrange quid-pro-quo kickback arrangements, while, at the same time, damaging their competition.**
- What is the proof?:
- Public records, news reports, stock evidence, PAC reports, family trust records, financial records, corporate records, ownership documents, and surveillance.

=====

- **Federal officials solicited independent applicants such as ZAP, Bright Automotive, Aptera, XPV and many others, to use them as cover stories in order to make the program look like it had not been rigged, in advance, when, in fact, it had been. Every applicant who was not on the pre-rigged insider list, and competed with those who were, was terminated by the actions of federal officials**
- What is the proof?:
- Retrospective records and federal documents prove that no independent company, who was not friends with, and financiers for, DOE and White House campaigns, was rejected. Emails, witnesses, financial documents, waterfall

charts, surveillance, restaurant videos of meetings, and testimony records.

=====

- **White House and DOE officials ordered, coordinated, managed and supported media and economic financial assassination and character assassination attacks on applicants who reported misdeeds. They used their contractors: In-Q-Tel, New America Foundation, Think Progress, Gawker Media and certain other, specific, hired writers, and operatives to engage in this revenge and retribution attacks.**
- What is the proof?:
- The vast and clearly visible publicly published news coverage clearly proves that the attacks did happen. Numerous other citizens have recently filed lawsuits against federal officials proving that they were subjected to the same attacks by the same Administration. All of the above-mentioned attack contractors have been financially, politically, familiarly, asset, communications and activity traced back the same federal officials and their friends, who also happen to be the same friends who are the only ones to receive the cash from the funding programs and who also, coincidentally, happen to be competitors of the Plaintiffs; while, also coincidentally, being the campaign financiers of this Administration. Additionally, the particular scope, distribution and timing of the attacks specifically times out to be synchronous to the complaints filed by the Plaintiffs. All Plaintiffs had glowing reference and top standings until the attacks, for decades prior, proving the attack period to be an anomaly. Web

published exhortations by the attackers show that they, exclusively, financed, managed and controlled the attacking contractors. IP and DNS server records.

=====

- **Federal officials control the search results of Google, for political purposes, and used Google's monopolistic control of internet news and media to attack the Plaintiffs because they were competitors and because they reported the misdeeds of those federal officials and cooperated with federal investigators who were looking into their crimes.**
- What is the proof?:
- EU investigations have proven that Google rigs its search engine via manual manipulation. Kliener Perkins created Google, received some of the largest cash kickbacks from DOE and White House sources, funded The White House and West Coast Senators campaigns and can be financially, stock market, communications and witness-traced as the controlling party in most Google political search manipulations. Additionally, multiple research institutes, as well as Plaintiffs own private investigators, have revealed that they had placed thousands of internet servers across the internet to document and records Google's malicious search engine manipulations, and political attacks, ever since 2009. Complete technical evidence now exists to prove that Google, specifically manipulated its search results, at the request of federal officials, in order to attack the Plaintiffs in revenge for helping investigators and to seek to

minimize their credibility if a Special Prosecutor should ever be appointed.

=====

- **Eric Holder and Steven Chu were placed in office with orders to protect the Department of Energy and TARP kickback scheme from discovery.**
- What is the proof?:
- The law firm of Covington and Burling lobbied to place both of them in office under the direction and payroll of the very same people who were Steven Chu's friends and recipients of the DOE cash. Public records, family trust disclosures, emails, former Covington and Burling staff, surveillance and federal investigation files. Both are shown to have had personal, business and stock relationships with all of the financiers and their companies, before, during, and after their federal office roles.

=====

- **Lachlan Seward, Steven Chu's cash administrator at the Department of Energy, ordered federal record shredded and told his staff to ignore, and/or manipulate the records of the applicants who were not friends of Steven Chu.**
- What is the proof?:
- Previous, and later, documents for Tesla Motors show differences in the documents. DOE staff engaged in shredding order by Seward. DOE Maintenance records. DOE

cameras. Emails. Missing evidence requested by Committees.

=====

- **Steven Chu waived Tesla Motors application fees on request of White House operatives.**
- What is the proof?:
- Tesla staff have been recorded confirming this. U.S. Treasury and OBM staff have, additionally, confirmed this.

=====

- **Elon Musk, at the time one of the richest men in America, has needed to receive tens of billions of dollars of taxpayer hand-outs as a kickback for him, and his friends, for funding Obama's and Dianne Feinstein's political efforts. He got his State & federal cash as part of a kick-back scheme**
- What is the proof?:
- For the Feinstein proof. Emails, surveillance, public records and witness testimony proves that the Feinstein family did lobby to put Solyndra and Tesla on the same plot of land that they controlled in Fremont, California. The real estate records, family trust documents, tax documents, emails, Fremont council meetings with Feinstein staff, Feinstein control of Newman Search HR for Tesla and Solyndra, Feinstein sharing of staff with Tesla/Solyndra, Feinstein inside stock trading, Feinstein adjacent property ownership, Feinstein construction company ownership, Feinstein



leasing and contract rights ownerships, and related documents, Documents from Toyota, prove that the Feinstein's got Solyndra and Tesla funded in exchange. For insider trading and cash kickbacks. Additionally, emails, phone records and surveillance show that Feinstein staff threatened some of the Plaintiffs and engaged in sabotage against their business efforts which competed with Tesla and Solyndra. Steven Chu and Elon Musk are personal friends and associates of the Feinstein family. The evidence and proof of the Feinstein/Tesla/Solyndra collusion is quite substantial. For the evidence of the White House/Musk collusion connects to both Musk and John Doerr's company: Kliener Perkins: Emails, family trusts, HSBC leaks, witnesses, other related lawsuit evidence, Goldman Sachs surveillance recordings, stock ownership and transaction records, and federal criminal investigation files provide ample proof. Additionally, simple math also provides verification. There is no record, in recorded history, of such a wealthy man receiving so many government handouts, in such sizes, with such minimal results, unless there was an organized crime scheme underway. Additional evidence and leak documents also verify these charges.

=====

- **The Plaintiffs had the best, most attractive debt-ratio standing of all applicants. Tesla had the worst debt-ratio standing, of all the applicants, yet Tesla received the award even though the federal section 136 law said that debt-ratio status was the key determination component.**
- What is the proof?:

- Elon Musk has been recorded in news articles, network TV and in his own emails testifying to the fact that, at the time of his application to DOE, Tesla was about to go bankrupt and he was preparing “Golden Parachute” massive payout packages for the end of Tesla. Tesla’s own financial records and documents filed with Welles Fargo Bank prove that Tesla was mired in debt, had no demand for its product, and should file bankruptcy. In spite of these facts, Tesla, in violation of the Section 136 law, was awarded federal taxpayer cash when they had no hope of surviving without that cash. This was illegal. Federal criminal investigation records by multiple agencies and committees further prove this fact.

=====

- **White House and Federal Reserve Bank staff help keep Tesla afloat by shifting stock market metrics, stock pumps with buyback incentives and other special resources in order to do anything to keep Tesla from bankruptcy and investigation like its next-door twin: Solyndra.**
- What is the proof?:
- When Solyndra died and was FBI raided, Presidential candidate Mitt Romney was recorded saying “Tesla and Solyndra were Losers”. This is published widely in news broadcasts and publications. White House press staff became so fearful that the Steven Chu campaign finance kickback scheme would go public that they organized one of the biggest character assassination campaigns, ever created, against Romney, using the Google politics engine.

Romney is recorded and documented, in many news broadcasts describing this character assassination attack on him by White House operatives. The ultimate crash of Tesla will vindicate the deeply White House hated Romney, provide steam to competing campaigns, and expose the whole kickback scheme. White House operatives have been shown to stop at nothing to keep Tesla alive in the media in order to avoid lethal embarrassment. While Tesla has had a record number of deaths, fires, crashes, drunk driving incidents, toxic vapors, divorces, fraud lawsuits and low demand; all of those downsides are covered up by Google and White House controlled media as proven in 5 years of side by side news story comparisons of actual news in non-controlled outlets vs. manipulated news in White House campaign financier controlled outlets such as Google.

=====

- **Federal officials had their contractors call Plaintiffs employers and get them fired, in revenge.**
- What is the proof?:
- Phone records, federal surveillance, HR services.

=====

- **Gary D. Conley, Rajeev Motwani, David Bird, Karl Slym, Ravi Kumar, and many other, mysteriously deceased, persons had personal competitive, investigative or business interactions with the Defendants?**

- What is the proof?:
- YouTube videos, family members, their own boogs, published communications, emails.

=====

- **Federal officials asked applicants to work on federal projects which involved toxic and lethal materials which Plaintiffs were exposed to working with the U.S. Department of Energy program. When Plaintiffs filed for federal offsets for their poisoning by toxic materials, their disability claims were stalled, manipulated and denied.**

- What is the proof?:
- MSDS records, federal contracts and NDA's, surveillance and security office records, nuclear secrets agreement documents filings, federal records, emails, phone communications, federal hearing records.

=====

- **Federal officials ordered their contractors to manipulate job hiring databases so that Plaintiffs who had reported mis-deeds would get "red flagged" when recruiters or employers tried to hire them; thus terminating any hopes they had for getting future employment.**

- What is the proof?:
- Klayman lawsuit records, Judicial Watch NSA lawsuit filings, database research, sting investigation, HR test via private

investigators, Comparative analysis metrics.

=====

- **Part of Steven Chu's scam involved manipulating mining commodities with Goldman Sachs and Kleiner Perkins, using taxpayer money.**
- What is the proof?:
- The Frank Guistra disclosures, evidence in the Raj Gupta arrest, Steven Ratner's indictment file, HSBC leak documents, origination records for the published articles entitled: "Afghanistan is the Saudi Arabia of Lithium" and "Trillions of dollars of lithium in Afghanistan". FBI records from the Solyndra raid. U.S. Senate investigation files from the Goldman Sachs metals commodity manipulation investigations. Stock ownership disclosures, family trust ownership documents, Cayman Islands banking disclosures, PAC forensic examination files, real estate and shipping ownership records, supplier contracts from Solyndra, Tesla Motors, A123 and related commodity exploiters, surveillance records, witness testimony, DOJ records and investigations, U.S. Treasury records and investigations. Goldman Sachs skimming of stock profits on almost every Steven Chu funded federal deal.

There are over 200 **additional** misdeeds and proof overviews... stay tuned.

Records, evidence and material provide by, and shared with: Law enforcement agencies, news bureaus, news aggregation sites,

consumer rights organizations, taxpayer organizations, Grand Jury offices, subscribed voters and related entities.

Security Note: To protect Plaintiffs, All of the data exists in globally published, disguised, encrypted "Drawstring" torrents which can be released on a moment's notice by multiple parties